1.386 (5)	Cl05	1.453 (4)
1.471 (6)	N2-C8	1.497 (8)
1.360 (5)	C1C2	1.505 (7)
1.390 (6)	C3—C4	1.384 (7)
1.399 (6)	C4C5	1.371 (8)
1.387 (7)	C6—C7	1.396 (6)
1.484 (7)	C4—C9	1.510 (7)
91.0 (1)	O3-C1-O2	108.9 (3)
111.2 (4)	04—C1—03	113.4 (3)
108.0 (3)	O5-C1-O3	107.1 (3)
107.9 (3)	Cu-O1-Cu	102.2 (1)
129.5 (3)	C1-N1-Cu	112.6 (3)
110.7 (4)	C2-C1-N1	112.9 (4)
119.6 (4)	C7-C2-C1	121.6 (4)
118.8 (4)	C4-C3-C2	122.4 (5)
117.1 (4)	C9-C4-C3	120.4 (5)
122.6 (5)	C6-C5-C4	123.2 (5)
118.5 (4)	C8—C6—C5	121.1 (5)
120.4 (4)	C2-C7-01	121.2 (4)
119.0 (4)	C6-C7-C2	119.8 (4)
112.0 (4)		
	$\begin{array}{c} 1.386 \ (5)\\ 1.471 \ (6)\\ 1.360 \ (5)\\ 1.390 \ (6)\\ 1.397 \ (7)\\ 1.484 \ (7)\\ 91.0 \ (1)\\ 111.2 \ (4)\\ 108.0 \ (3)\\ 107.9 \ (3)\\ 129.5 \ (3)\\ 110.7 \ (4)\\ 119.6 \ (4)\\ 118.8 \ (4)\\ 117.1 \ (4)\\ 122.6 \ (5)\\ 118.5 \ (4)\\ 119.0 \ (4)\\ 119.0 \ (4)\\ 112.0 \ (4)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

The structure was solved and refined using *SHELX76* (Sheldrick, 1976). The metal-atom position was deduced from a Patterson synthesis and remaining non-H atoms were located by successive ΔF syntheses. Refinement was by full-matrix least squares. H atoms (except the methyl H atoms) were located from the difference Fourier map and refined isotropically. Molecular geometry calculations were performed using *PARST* (Nardelli, 1982).

The authors wish to thank Professor J. R. Helliwell for the use of data collection facilities and Professor K. Nag for helpful discussions.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry, including H-atom geometry, have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71655 (15 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: HE1005]

References

- Atkins, A. J., Blake, A. J. & Schroder, M. (1993). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 353-355.
- Bell, M., Edwards, A. J., Hoskins, B. F., Kachab, E. H. & Robson, R. (1989). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111, 3603-3610.
- Hoskins, B. F., Robson, R. & Smith, P. (1990). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 488-489.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Mandal, S. K., Thompson, L. K., Nag, K., Charland, J.-P. & Gabe, E. J. (1987a). Can. J. Chem. 65, 2815–2823.
- Mandal, S. K., Thompson, L. K., Nag, K., Charland, J.-P. & Gabe, E. J. (1987b). Inorg. Chem. 26, 1391-1395.
- Nardelli, M. (1982). PARST. A System of Computer Routines for Calculating Molecular Parameters from the Results of Crystal Structure Analysis. Univ. of Parma, Italy.
- Pilkington, N. H. & Robson, R. (1970). Aust. J. Chem. 23, 2225-2236.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sorrel, T. N. (1989). Tetrahedron, 45, 3-68.
- Vigato, P. A., Tamburin, S. & Fenton, D. E. (1990). Coord. Chem. Rev. 106, 25-170.

©1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 544-546

Tetrapotassium μ -Carbonato- μ -oxobis[nitrilotriacetatoiron(III)] Dimethanol Dihydrate

TOYOAKI FUJITA AND SHIGERU OHBA*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Hiyoshi 3, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223, Japan

YUZO NISHIDA AND ATSUKO GOTO

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Yamagata University, Kojirakawa 1-4-12, Yamagata 990, Japan

Tadashi Tokii

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Saga University, Saga 840, Japan

(Received 19 March 1993; accepted 13 September 1993)

Abstract

The structure of a μ -carbonato- μ -oxo-diiron(III) complex with the tetradentate tripodal ligand nitrilotriacetate (nta), $[Fe_2(nta)_2(CO_3)O]^{4-}$, has been determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction for the potassium salt, $K_4[Fe_2(C_6H_6NO_6)_2(CO_3)O].2CH_3-$ OH.2H₂O. The binuclear complex has crystallographic twofold symmetry and the coordination geometry around the Fe atoms is distorted octahedral. The Fe–O–Fe bridge angle is 121.1 (2)° and the Fe…Fe interatomic distance is 3.188 (1) Å. The Fe₂(CO₃)O core is not planar; the dihedral angle between the Fe₂O and CO₃ planes is 33.8 (5)°.

Comment

The Fe^{III}-nta solution, prepared by mixing an iron(III) solution and nitrilotriacetic acid, H₃nta, exhibits unique reactivity. The repeated intraperitoneal injection of the Fe^{III}-nta solution to rats and rabbits induces an iron overload similar to human hemochromatosis (Awai, Narasaki, Yamanoi & Seno, 1979). The Fe^{III}-nta solution is nephrotoxic and renal carcinoma is also induced (Okada & Midorikawa, 1982). In animals treated with the above solution, the products of lipid peroxidation increase (Goddard, Basford & Sweeney, 1986). Nishida, Yoshizawa & Akamatsu (1991) succeeded in isolating the Fe^{III} complex with the nta ligand, [Fe₂O(acetato)(nta)₂]³⁻, and concluded that the unique reactivity of the Fe^{III}-nta solution described

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1994 above is due to its dimeric structure with a μ -oxo bridge (Nishida, 1991). In this study the µ-oxo-Fe^{III}nta complex with a carbonato bridge. (I), was isolated.



The dimensions of the µ-carbonato-µ-oxo-diiron(III) core are similar to those of the tris(2-pyridvlmethyl)amine (TPA) adduct in crystals of [Fe₂O- $(CO_3)(TPA)_2](ClO_4)_2.2CH_3OH$ (Norman, Holz. Ménage, O'Connor, Zhang & Que, 1990). The structures and magnetic properties of µ-carboxylato-µ-oxo-diiron(III) complexes with TPA have been reported also (Norman, Yan, Que, Backes, Ling, Sanders-Loehr, Zhang & O'Connor, 1990). The μ -oxo-diiron(III) complexes show strong intramolecular antiferromagnetic coupling via a superexchange mechanism of two high-spin ferric ions (Drücke, Wieghardt, Nuber, Weiss, Fleischhauer, Gehring & Haase, 1989). The -Jvalue (Hamiltonian, $\mathcal{H} = -2Js_1.s_2$) of the title compound after efflorescence was determined to be 83 cm⁻¹ by measuring magnetic susceptibilities in the temperature range 80-300 K using the Faraday method.



Fig. 1. ORTEP drawing (Johnson, 1965) of [Fe₂O(CO₃)(nta)₂]⁴⁻ with the displacement ellipsoids at the 25% probability level.

Experimental

By adding methanol to a solution (pH 7.2) of ferric chloride, N(CH₂COOH)₃ and NaHCO₃, the crude samples were obtained as brown powder, and were recrystallized from a methanol/water (8/2) solution. Analysis found: C 21.77, H 2.90, N 3.43%, Analysis calculated for $K_4[Fe_2(C_6H_6NO_6)_2(CO_3)(O)]$.2CH₃OH.-2H₂O: C 21.96, H 2.95, N 3.41%. The specimen was sealed in a capillary to prevent efflorescence.

Crystal data

$K_4[Fe_2(C_6H_6NO_6)_2(CO_3)-$	$D_x = 1.91 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
O].2CH4O.2H2O	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 820.45$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 29
C2/c	reflections
a = 15.628 (2) Å	$\theta = 10 - 15^{\circ}$
b = 12.915 (2) Å	$\mu = 1.68 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 14.533 (2) Å	T = 298 (2) K
$\beta = 102.90(1)^{\circ}$	Prism
V = 2859.4 (6) Å ³	$0.80 \times 0.40 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$
Z = 4	Dark green

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-5 diffractome-	2207 observed reflections
ter	$[F_o > 3\sigma(F_o)]$
θ -2 θ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.022$
Absorption correction:	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$
by integration from crystal	$h = -20 \rightarrow 20$
shape	$k = 0 \rightarrow 16$
$T_{\min} = 0.469, T_{\max} =$	$l = 0 \rightarrow 18$
0.755	5 standard reflections
3415 measured reflections	monitored every 100
3287 independent reflections	reflections
*	intensity variation: 2.0%

Refinement

Fe K1

K2 03 04

05

06

Ν C12

C13

C14

C15

Refinement on F	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.18$
R = 0.045	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.68 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
wR = 0.057	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.57 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 2.32	Extinction correction: none
2207 reflections	Atomic scattering factors
194 parameters	from International Tables
H-atom parameters not	for X-ray Crystallography
refined	(1974, Vol. IV)
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + (0.015 F_o)^2]$	

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$B_{eq} =$	$(8\pi^2/3)\sum_i\sum_j U_{ij}a_i^*a_j^*\mathbf{a}_i.\mathbf{a}_j$	ij
------------	--	----

x	у	z	Beg
-0.09580 (4)	0.32474 (5)	-0.22888 (4)	2.4 (1
-0.10859 (7)	-0.06221 (8)	0.05169 (8)	3.8 (1
0.09596 (7)	0.38284 (9)	-0.00760 (9)	4.2 (1
0	0.3944 (3)	-1/4	3.0 (1
-0.0725 (2)	0.1864 (2)	-0.2809 (2)	3.3 (1
0	0.0399 (4)	-1/4	6.2 (2
-0.1421 (2)	0.4439 (2)	-0.1636 (2)	3.5 (1
-0.2380 (2)	0.4944 (3)	-0.0808 (3)	4.5 (1
-0.1885 (2)	0.3484 (2)	-0.3482 (2)	3.5 (1)
-0.3135 (3)	0.2906 (3)	-0.4381 (3)	5.5 (1)
-0.0480 (2)	0.2618 (2)	-0.0954 (2)	3.1 (1
-0.0593 (2)	0.1276 (3)	-0.0039 (2)	4.5 (1
-0.2142 (3)	0.2491 (3)	-0.1944 (2)	2.4 (1
0	0.1359 (5)	-1/4	3.5 (2)
-0.2575 (3)	0.3282 (4)	-0.1478 (3)	3.5 (1)
-0.2092 (3)	0.4297 (4)	-0.1284(3)	2.9 (1
-0.2686 (3)	0.2169 (4)	-0.2865 (3)	3.0 (1)

C16	-0.2568 (3)	0.2913 (4)	-0.3635 (3)	3.4 (1)
C17	-0.1801 (3)	0.1617 (4)	-0.1310 (3)	3.2 (1)
C18	-0.0892(3)	0.1858 (4)	-0.0710 (3)	3.0(1)
019	0.0178 (3)	0.4393 (3)	0.3928 (3)	5.4 (1)
C20	0.0857 (4)	0.3845 (6)	0.3666 (5)	6.7 (2)
O21	0.0854 (6)	0.0863 (7)	0.1578 (6)	10.2 (2)
O22	-0.032 (1)	0.157 (2)	0.308 (1)	9.0 (5)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

3.188 (1)	Fe—O10	2.082 (3)
1.830 (2)	Fe—N	2.246 (4)
2.005 (3)	O4-C12	1.298 (4)
2.025 (3)	O5-C12	1.240 (8)
2.020 (3)	O11· · ·O21	2.924 (9)
98.7 (1)	N—Fe—O3	175.4 (1)
95.5 (1)	N—Fe—O4	85.6(1)
103.8 (1)	N-Fe06	80.1 (1)
102.5 (1)	N—Fe—O8	77.8 (1)
165.5 (1)	N-Fe-O10	76.4 (1)
88.1 (1)	Fe—O3—Fe ⁱ	121.1 (2)
86.9 (1)	Fe	122.4 (3)
91.4 (1)	O4-C12-O5	120.2 (3)
87.2 (1)	04—C12—O4 ⁱ	119.7 (5)
154.0(1)		
	$\begin{array}{c} 3.188 \ (1) \\ 1.830 \ (2) \\ 2.005 \ (3) \\ 2.025 \ (3) \\ 2.020 \ (3) \\ 98.7 \ (1) \\ 95.5 \ (1) \\ 103.8 \ (1) \\ 102.5 \ (1) \\ 165.5 \ (1) \\ 88.1 \ (1) \\ 86.9 \ (1) \\ 91.4 \ (1) \\ 87.2 \ (1) \\ 154.0 \ (1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

Symmetry code: (i) -x, y, $-z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Laue group 2/m and systematic absences, hkl for $h + k \neq 2n$ and h0l for $l \neq 2n$, indicated the space group Cc (No. 9) or C2/c (No. 15). Assuming the space group to be C2/c, the position of the Fe atom was obtained by direct methods and the other non-H atoms were located from Fourier syntheses. All the H atoms of the complex ion were located from difference syntheses, as were the methanol and water molecules of crystallization, but their H atoms were not included in the refinement. The water molecule is disordered and the site occupation ratio of two possible positions, O20 and O21, was estimated at 7:3 from the isotropic displacement parameters. Calculations were carried out using *CRYSTAN-GM* software (MAC Science, 1992) on a SUN SPARC2 workstation at Keio University.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71620 (9 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: OH1044]

References

- Awai, M., Narasaki, M., Yamanoi, Y. & Seno, S. (1979). Am. J. Pathol. 95, 663-672.
- Drücke, S., Wieghardt, K., Nuber, B., Weiss, J., Fleischhauer, H.-P., Gehring, S. & Haase, W. (1989). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111, 8622–8631.
- Goddard, J. G., Basford, D. & Sweeney, G. D. (1986). Biochem. Pharmacol. 35, 2381–2387.
- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- MAC Science (1992). CRYSTAN-GM. Program for X-ray Crystal Structure Analysis. MAC Science, Tokyo, Japan.
- Nishida, Y. (1991). J. Pharm. Dyn. 14, S-118.
- Nishida, Y., Yoshizawa, K. & Akamatsu, T. (1991). Chem. Lett. pp. 1521-1524.
- Norman, R. E., Holz, R. C., Ménage, S., O'Connor, C. J., Zhang, J. H. & Que, L. Jr (1990). *Inorg. Chem.* 29, 4629–4637.

©1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

- Norman, R. E., Yan, S., Que, L. Jr, Backes, G., Ling, J., Sanders-Loehr, J., Zhang, J. H. & O'Connor, C. J. (1990). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112, 1554–1562.
- Okada, S. & Midorikawa, O. (1982). Jpn. Arch. Inter. Med. 29, 485-491.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 546-549

Structural Characterization of Mixed-Valence 1',2',1''',2'''-Tetraethylbiferrocenium Triiodide

TENG-YUAN DONG* AND CHUN-HSUN HUANG

Institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei, Taiwan

(Received 22 December 1992; accepted 22 September 1993)

Abstract

The structure determination of the title compound $\{\mu - 1(\eta^5): 2(\eta^5) - 1, 1'$ -bicyclopentadienyldiyl-bis[1,2- $(\eta^5) - 1, 2$ -diethylcyclopentadienyl]diiron(II,III) triiodide chlorobenzene solvate, [Fe₂(C₉H₁₃)₂- $(C_{10}H_8)$](I₃).C₆H₅Cl (10)} indicates that this mixed-valence cation has a valence-trapped electronic state.

Comment

Studies of electron transfer in mixed-valence biferrocenium complexes [(1)-(8)] have revealed that the environment around a given mixed-valence molecule is the most important factor in determining the rate of intramolecular electron transfer (Hammack, Drickamer, Lowery & Hendrickson, 1988; Sinha, Lowery, Ley, Drickamer & Hendrickson, 1988; Webb, Geib, Staley, Rheingold & Hendrickson, 1990; Dong, Kambara & Hendrickson, 1986; Dong, Cohn, Geib, Hendrickson, Iwai, Rheingold, Motoyama & Nakashima, 1985). A recent finding of interest is that there is a correlation between the dihedral angle of the Cp rings for a given ferrocenyl moiety and the rate of electron transfer, *i.e.* the larger the dihedral angle, the faster the electron transfer (Dong & Chou, 1990; Dong, Chang, Huang, Wen, Lee, Chen, Yeh & Yeh, 1992; Dong, Lee & Lin, 1992). In a previous communication (Dong et al., 1992), we reported that there is a dramatic difference in electron-transfer rates between complexes (9) and (10), which were prepared by

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1994